

RFP 21-980369 - Florida Electric Transit Buses with Charging and Associated Equipment GILLIG LLC

Scoring Summary

Evaluation Group 1 - Responsiveness Review

	Responsive Review
Reviewer	Pass/Fail
Contracting Officer	Pass
Average:	Pass
	\downarrow
Calculated:	Pass

Reviewer	Total / 80 pts	A - Technical Evaluation Criteria / 80 pts	A-1 - Product design and performance / 30 pts	A-2 - Proposer's reputation and performance / 30 pts	A-3 - Delivery schedule / 20 pts
Evaluation Memeber 1	78	78	30	30	18
Evaluation Member	65	65	27	26	12
Evaluation Member 3	75	75	29	28	18
Evaluation Member 4	76	76	28	28	20
Evaluation Member 5	65	65	25	30	10
	-	-	-	-	-
		Average:	27.8	28.4	15.6
Calculated:	71.8	71.8	27.8	28.4	15.6



Reviewer	Total / 20 pts	B - Pricing / 20 pts	B-1 - / 20 pts
Contracting Officer	20	20	\$100
		Average:	\$100
			\downarrow
Calculated:	20	20	20 (\$100)

Scoring Comments

Responsive Review - Reviewer Scores

Reviewer	Score	Reason	Comments
Contracting Officer	Pass	Meets the requirement(s)	Proposal was considered Responsive

Reviewer	Score	Reason	Comments
Evaluation Memeber 1	30	High level of detail in response	The proposal contained a high level of detail for the requested technical information in the RFP. Full review of the proposal left this reviewer with a complete picture of what vehicles were being proposed and their capabilities.



			T =
Evaluation Member	27	Meets or exceeds my expectations	Cummins EV drivetrain that will be supported by the Cummins network. Batteries are modular and expandable with 6-year warranty. Stated range of between 170-239 miles depending on battery pack size appears reasonable and is backed up by testing data. Plug in Inductive Wave & pantograph charging available. Altoona test report included. Preventive maintenance cost
			estimates are included. Standard repair times are included.
Evaluation Member 3	29	High level of detail in response	Good informative proposal. Easy to follow.
Evaluation Member 4	28	Strongly fits desired attribute(s)	The proposal clearly and with a great deal of technical details describes the bus design. The specifications of the Gillig BEB meet or exceed the RFP requirements.
Evaluation Member 5	25	Well-supported claim(s)	Gillig: 170 – 239 miles, Altoona tests 86.3, AC on. No significant issues at Altoona test

Reviewer	Score	Reason	Comments
Evaluation Memeber 1	30	Well-supported claim(s)	Gillig is 130 year company and has a solid reputation as a bus builder. Support network, field service response, financial capacity, quality, organizational structure, etc., are all areas where Gillig demonstrates the ability to design and support the product they sell.



			Designed to one in identified Designed
			Project team is identified. Project plan, schedule plan,
			communication plan and
			configuration management plan
			are all adequate.
			References included from past
			customers.
			Aftermarket parts is very well
			organized, warranty and
Evaluation Member	26	Strongly fits desired attribute(s)	engineering support structure is
Lvaldation Member	20	offoligity his desired attribute(s)	discussed and acceptable.
			Training programs and special
			tools are outlined.
			Able to provide some buses
			requested by this RFP.
			Agreement with Cummins for
			warranty support
			Good field service support, aftermarket parts support and
			training availability.
			Good reputation throughout the
		Well-supported claim(s)	industry. Personal experience
Evaluation Member 3	28		has been a positive professional
			one with Gillig.
			The reputation and experience of
			the proposer is excellent. The
Evaluation Member 4	28	Meets or exceeds my	proposers methodology and
Evaluation Member 4	20	expectations	approach to quality control,
Evaluation Member 5			quality assurance and customer
			support is excellent.
			Gillig: 6yr/300kmiles or 12yr/500k
		Meets or exceeds my expectations	miles warranty, 60 BEB Buses
	30		over 25 locations, 97.5% US
			Spend, 100% US Company, 130
			years

A-3 - Delivery schedule - Reviewer Scores

Reviewer	Score	Reason	Comments
----------	-------	--------	----------



Evaluation Memeber 1	18	Meets or exceeds my expectations	Gillig has a strong commitment to meeting delivery timelines per contract. Deliveries of orders up to 10 buses will be delivered within fifteen (15) months after receipt of order. Deliveries of orders over 10 buses will be negotiated a time of order placement. Would have been interested to see a breakout of production milestone dates.
Evaluation Member	12	Medium level of detail in response	Proposer production rate is 35 buses per week. Have not been late on any contract in the last 3 years or paid any LD's for late delivery. No proposed scheduling document or process, but they do state" We have NEVER been late on a promised delivery date."
Evaluation Member 3	18	Meets or exceeds my expectations	Acceptable delivery expectations, based on previous experience. Would like to see the timeframe reduced in time.
Evaluation Member 4	20	Meets or exceeds my expectations	Based on previous experience with this proposer they have always met their promised delivery schedules.
Evaluation Member 5	10	Partially meets my expectations	Gillig: Delivery within 15 months

Reviewer	Score	Reason	Comments
Contracting Officer	20 (\$100)	-	This is a place holder



RFP 21-980369 - Florida Electric Transit Buses with Charging and Associated Equipment New Flyer

Scoring Summary

Evaluation Group 1 - Responsiveness Review

	Responsive Review	
Reviewer	Pass/Fail	
Contracting Officer	Pass	
Average:	Pass	
	\downarrow	
Calculated:	Pass	

	Total	A - Technical Evaluation Criteria	A-1 - Product design and performance	A-2 - Proposer's reputation and performance	A-3 - Delivery schedule
Reviewer	/ 80 pts	/ 80 pts	/ 30 pts	/ 30 pts	/ 20 pts
Evaluation Memeber 1	80	80	30	30	20
Evaluation Member	75	75	29	28	18
Evaluation Member 3	75	75	28	28	19
Evaluation Member 4	67	67	22	25	20
Evaluation Member 5	55	55	20	25	10
	-	-	-	-	-
		Average:	25.8	27.2	17.4
			<u></u>	<u> </u>	
Calculated:	70.4	70.4	25.8	27.2	17.4



	Total	B - Pricing	B-1 -
Reviewer	/ 20 pts	/ 20 pts	/ 20 pts
Contracting Officer	20	20	\$100
		Average:	\$100
			\downarrow
Calculated:	20	20	20 (\$100)

Scoring Comments

Responsive Review - Reviewer Scores

Reviewer	Score	Reason	Comments
Contracting Officer	Pass	Meets the requirement(s)	Proposal was considered Responsive

Reviewer	Score	Reason	Comments
Evaluation Memeber 1	30	High level of detail in response	The proposal contained all
			technical information for buses,
			performance, maintenance, etc.
			The level of detail was more than
			sufficient and very informative.



			Excellent level of documentation	
			& information included in	
			proposal	
			Proposals included all testing	
			performed for each bus type with	
			detailed results. Altoona Reports	
			included.	
			Battery warranty – 6 years or	
Evaluation Member	29	High level of detail in response	300,000 miles. Charging is plug	
			in or pantograph. Life cycle	
			testing information was not	
			provided.	
			Detailed PM cost by task is provided including the 12-year	
			project total maintenance costs.	
			Preventive maintenance intervals	
			and standard repair time were	
			supplied and seen realistic.	
	28	Meets or exceeds my		
Evaluation Member 3		expectations	Good informative proposal.	
		одрежанене		
			The proposer did not provide a	
			clear or concise technical	
Evaluation Member 4	22	Other	description of their BEB operating system. The proposers list of	
Evaluation Member 4	22	Other	deviations was lengthy and	
			included some important	
			specification items.	
Firebrotion March on F		High level of detail in response	New Flyer: Range XE40 142-236,	
	20		60 96-108, Worn bushings,	
Evaluation Member 5			broken shock and other issues at	
			Altoona Test	

Reviewer	Score	Reason	Comments
Evaluation Memeber 1	30	Well-supported claim(s)	NF is the largest bus manufacturer in North America across all bus lines. In addition, NF is the largest parts supplier, and has over 8,000 employees in ten countries. Financial capacity
			is sound with no question of being able to carry out contracts. Reputation is solid.



Evaluation Member	28	Strongly fits desired attribute(s)	Proposer overall structure and capabilities are very good. Largest bus producer in North America with good track record. Aftermarket parts is very well organized, warranty and engineering support structure is discussed and acceptable. Training programs and special tools are outlined. References included from past customers QA plan included and is very detailed. Able to furnish all buses requested in the RFP
Evaluation Member 3	28	Meets or exceeds my expectations	Proposal exhibits good performance in these areas.
Evaluation Member 4	25	Other	Personal experience with proposers product, product support, warranty process and parts supply capabilities has been poor.
Evaluation Member 5	25	High level of detail in response	New Flyer: Batt Warranty 12yr - 800k km - 500k miles, Started Li- Cycle in 2016, 90 Years

A-3 - Delivery schedule - Reviewer Scores

Reviewer	Score	Reason	Comments
Evaluation Memeber 1	20	Meets or exceeds my expectations	The proposal contained a production timeline broken out by the typical milestone dates customary for bus production. The timeline detailed number of weeks from order entry to production to delivery.



Evaluation Member	18	High level of detail in response	Schedule starts at 25 weeks before line entry. 1-10 units will be completed 300 days from NTP. Order from 10-20 will be completed 365 days from NTP. Proposer has 3 manufacturing facilities in the US and there are no concerns with them being able to meet scheduling requirement.
Evaluation Member 3	19	Meets or exceeds my expectations	Acceptable delivery time.
Evaluation Member 4	20	Well-supported claim(s)	Proposer provided delivery schedule through September 2022.
Evaluation Member 5	10	Medium level of detail in response	New Flyer: Delivery time 1-2 years

Reviewer	Score	Reason	Comments
Contracting Officer	20 (\$100)	-	This is a place holder



RFP 21-980369 - Florida Electric Transit Buses with Charging and Associated Equipment Proterra Inc

Scoring Summary

Evaluation Group 1 - Responsiveness Review

	Responsive Review
Reviewer	Pass/Fail
Contracting Officer	Pass
Average:	Pass
	\downarrow
Calculated:	Pass

	Total	A - Technical Evaluation Criteria	A-1 - Product design and performance	A-2 - Proposer's reputation and performance	A-3 - Delivery schedule
Reviewer	/ 80 pts	/ 80 pts	/ 30 pts	/ 30 pts	/ 20 pts
Evaluation Memeber 1	75	75	25	30	20
Evaluation Member	67	67	25	25	17
Evaluation Member 3	74	74	28	27	19
Evaluation Member 4	73	73	26	27	20
Evaluation Member 5	55	55	25	15	15
	-	-	-	-	-
		Average:	25.8	24.8	18.2
Onlandada da	00.0	00.0	1		40.0
Calculated:	68.8	68.8	25.8	24.8	18.2



	Total	B - Pricing	B-1 -
Reviewer	/ 20 pts	/ 20 pts	/ 20 pts
Contracting Officer	20	20	\$100
		Average:	\$100
			\downarrow
Calculated:	20	20	20 (\$100)

Scoring Comments

Responsive Review - Reviewer Scores

Reviewer	Score	Reason	Comments
Contracting Officer	Pass	Meets the requirement(s)	Proposal was considered Responsive

Reviewer	Score	Reason	Comments
			The proposal contained most of
			the information regarding
			performance and capability of the
Evaluation Memeber 1	25	Meets or exceeds my	buses being proposed. The
Evaluation Memeber 1	25	expectations	proposal however seemed to be
			missing information requested on
			a typical PM schedule and cost of
			ownership analysis.



Evaluation Member	25	Well-supported claim(s)	Battery option is 450kWh with optional 675kWh and are located under the floor of the bus not the roof. 6-year warranty on batteries and HV equipment, optional 12 year warranty at additional cost Range testing included (Altoona and PSTA operating environment) Composite body & structure Vehicle design has unique subsystems and traditional options are not available Overhead Pantograph or plug in charging
Evaluation Member 3	28	Meets or exceeds my expectations	Overall good proposal. Informative.
Evaluation Member 4	26	Other	My scoring is based on reported record of Altoona testing and failures. I also relied my personnel experience with the reliability of the buses in our fleet.
Evaluation Member 5	25	Meets or exceeds my expectations	Proterra: 40' Altoona 79.9, Significant cracks at Altoona Test, ZX5Max range 163-300, ZX5 Range 186-241, Battery placement lower center mass, 40' 40 pax cap, light weight



Evaluation Memeber 1	30	Well-supported claim(s)	Proterra has been in the electric bus business for a long time so its no doubt that they are the leading manufacturer of BEB's in North America. Proterra has the experience and reputation to produce BEB's and supply the charging equipment needed. There organizational structure was well detailed in the proposal and has the technical support staff available to assist with service after the sale.
Evaluation Member	25	Well-supported claim(s)	Can provide 35' and 40' buses Aftermarket parts are distributed from the manufacturing plant location, Tech support 3 tech' son Florida, closest is in Orlando. Service, Engineering and Customer Success team org charts included. References included from past customers. Leadership Team & Bios included Need more information about training & customer support plan QA ISO 9001:2015, ISO 14001:2015 certified and business system manual included
Evaluation Member 3	27	Other	Problems with parts support, especially body parts from personal experience and conversations with other properties.
Evaluation Member 4	27	Other	Scoring was based on personal experiences with the proposers product reliability, product warranty support and number of fleet defects.
Evaluation Member 5	15	Partially meets my expectations	Proterra: 2170 BP 12yr/Unl Miles, Battery Warranty, 6/Unl., 6/200MWh for 112.5 kWh, 10 yrs since first delivery



A-3 - Delivery schedule - Reviewer Scores

Reviewer	Score	Reason	Comments
Evaluation Memeber 1	20	Meets or exceeds my expectations	The proposal contained a typical production milestone broken out by task. The proposer commits to deliver buses 12 months after notice to proceed.
Evaluation Member	17	Meets or exceeds my expectations	Delivery of bus #1 is +7 months from NTP Two manufacturing plants in US 451 buses committed to 37 contracts
Evaluation Member 3	19	Well-supported claim(s)	My experience is the delivery dates are met and mostly ahead of schedule.
Evaluation Member 4	20	Well-supported claim(s)	Delivery history and schedule provided.
Evaluation Member 5	15	Meets or exceeds my expectations	Proterra: Delivery ETA 1 yr

Reviewer	Reviewer Score		Comments
Contracting Officer	20 (\$100)	-	This is a place holder



RFP 21-980369 - Florida Electric Transit Buses with Charging and Associated Equipment BYD Coach and Bus LLC

Scoring Summary

Evaluation Group 1 - Responsiveness Review

	Responsive Review
Reviewer	Pass/Fail
Contracting Officer	Pass
Average:	Pass
	\downarrow
Calculated:	Pass

Reviewer	Total / 80 pts	A - Technical Evaluation Criteria / 80 pts	A-1 - Product design and performance / 30 pts	A-2 - Proposer's reputation and performance / 30 pts	A-3 - Delivery schedule / 20 pts
Evaluation Memeber 1	75	75	30	25	20
Evaluation Member	62	62	25	22	15
Evaluation Member 3	71	71	28	25	18
Evaluation Member 4	73	73	27	26	20
Evaluation Member 5	50	50	15	15	20
	-	-	-	-	-
		Average:	25	22.6	18.6
Calculated:	66.2	66.2	25	22.6	18.6



	Total	B - Pricing	B-1 -
Reviewer	/ 20 pts	/ 20 pts	/ 20 pts
Contracting Officer	20	20	\$100
		Average:	\$100
			\downarrow
Calculated:	20	20	20 (\$100)

Scoring Comments

Responsive Review - Reviewer Scores

Reviewer	Score	Reason	Comments
Contracting Officer	Pass	Meets the requirement(s)	Proposal was considered Responsive

Reviewer	Score	Reason	Comments
Evaluation Memeber 1	30	Meets or exceeds my expectations	30ft, 35ft, 40ft, 45ft, and 60ft bus models. Proposal contained most of the requested information on performance and capability.



Evaluation Member	25	Well-supported claim(s)	12 Year battery warranty and can be charged with plug-in, overhead pantograph or wireless inductive. Tested and meet SAE J2929 safety standards for hybrid vehicles. Wheel End Traction Motors – Put through 5 different reliability tests and rated for 12 years/500,000 miles. BMS is 3 controllers – performance issue in past with cell balance. Issue, if some cells develop issue, then the BMS shuts down section of the Battery significantly reducing vehicle range with no notification to the driver of a problem. Stated range of vehicle seems accurate but Altoona On-Road Energy Consumption & Range Test were not supplied. Preventive maintenance intervals and standard repair time were supplied and seen realistic.
Evaluation Member 3	28	Meets or exceeds my expectations	Good informative proposal.
Evaluation Member 4	27	Other	No extract of Altoona test results.
Evaluation Member 5	15	Partially supported claim(s)	BYD: Altoona Tests 88 & 83, 40' scheduled for 2022 Q4, Range 123 – 276, AC off test an issue. avg 185 m.



Evaluation Memeber 1	25	Meets or exceeds my expectations	65,000 BEB's with over 28,000,000,000 miles driven in daily transit operations. Good QA/QC plan, production plan with milestones, company organization chart was vague, few actual names of key people, were found.
Evaluation Member	22	Well-supported claim(s)	Project team is identified. Project plan, schedule plan, communication plan and configuration management plan are all adequate. Have a lot of engineering support globally but proposal does not identify what their levels are locally. Field service, Aftermarket sales, warranty support and field service support levels are not clearly identified. Manuals and drawings are a concern. References included from past customers Parts availability and lead times are a concern. ISO 9001:2015 QA program Able to furnish 30; 35', 40', 45' & 60' buses
Evaluation Member 3	25	Other	Reputation for lack of quality, support, and parts from other agencies in other states.
Evaluation Member 4	26	Other	Not enough references or vehicle performance history details provided.
Evaluation Member 5	15	Partially supported claim(s)	BYD: City of Albuquerque litigation resolved, Manufacturing Facility expansion to be built supporting additional growth, 60% US investors, US Bus Manufacturing Started in 2013, 12 yr battery warranty

A-3 - Delivery schedule - Reviewer Scores



Reviewer	Score	Reason	Comments
Evaluation Memeber 1	20	Meets or exceeds my expectations	Milestone production plan broken out by weeks was included in the proposal.
Evaluation Member	15	Well-supported claim(s)	Proposer meets requirements. Illustrates Milestone process with a 13 week build time for a bus and 10-month overall process from NTP to last delivery. Proposer currently has 16 other projects totaling 297 buses.
Evaluation Member 3	18	Meets or exceeds my expectations	Bus delivery on time performance reported by other agencies kept me from going higher.
Evaluation Member 4	20	Well-supported claim(s)	Delivery schedule provided.
Evaluation Member 5	20	High level of detail in response	BYD: 13 week production schedule, Manufacturing Facility expansion not built to support additional growth, 463 days for 5 buses project release with pilot program from Contract award to final delivery, most detailed delivery schedule

Reviewer	Score	Reason	Comments
Contracting Officer	20 (\$100)	-	This is a place holder